

# **RANDOMIZED SAFETY INSPECTIONS AND RISK EXPOSURE ON THE JOB: QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL ESTIMATES OF THE VALUE OF A STATISTICAL LIFE**

Jonathan M. Lee and Laura O. Taylor<sup>1</sup>

October, 2012

*PRELIMINARY DRAFT:  
DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM THE AUTHORS*

## ***Abstract***

This research employs the first quasi-experimental design within a labor market setting to provide causal evidence on the existence and magnitude of compensating wages for workplace fatality and accident risks. Federal safety inspections conducted by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration that randomly select plants for inspection (conditioned on known and measured plant characteristics) are used to instrument for plant-level risks. Inspection records are combined with confidential U.S. Census data on plant-level wages and worksite characteristics to estimate compensating wages associated with riskier working conditions and provide new estimates of the value of a statistical life (VSL). Our approach directly addresses several empirical challenges inherent in earlier work relying on cross-sectional or panel-data hedonic wage models to estimate the VSL, including the inability to measure the risk faced by workers at their actual place of employment, and correlated unobservables. Results indicate that prior studies may substantially overstate the value workers place on reducing workplace risks.

Keywords: value of a statistical life, VSL, compensating wages, OSHA, quasi-experiment, natural experiment

JEL Codes: Q58, J17, I18

---

<sup>1</sup> *Lee*: Dept. of Economics, East Carolina University, leejo@ecu.edu. *Taylor*: Dept. of Agricultural and Resource Economics, and Center for Environmental and Resource Economic Policy, North Carolina State University, laura\_taylor@ncsu.edu. The research reported herein was conducted while the authors were Special Sworn Status researchers of the U.S. Census Bureau at the Triangle Census Research Data Center. Any opinions and conclusions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Census Bureau. All results have been reviewed to ensure that no confidential information is disclosed.